Erratum

The Publisher apologizes for errors that occurred in *Genetic Programming and Evolvable Machines*, Volume 4, No. 3, pp. 211–233, "Multi-Objective Methods for Tree Size Control" by Edwin D. de Jong, Jordan B. Pollack. The corrections are as follow:

(1) The labeling of both graphs in figure 6 has been reversed, thus inverting their interpretation; the correct graphs are shown below. Together with figures 5 and 7, this shows the multi-objective method achieves a lower tree size and a better fitness for the given amount of computation on all three test problems, as described in the text.


Figure 6. Development of tree size and fitness for the 11-multiplexer problem.

(2) In the last paragraph of section 3, two sentences after the first sentence are missing. Together, these sentences should form an additional paragraph which reads:

"To address this issue, we measure computational effort as a function of node evaluations instead of tree evaluations. While this method has been used previously by several authors [3, 36], its use has not yet become widespread. We propose that it should be used as standard practice when evaluating methods for variable length evolution."

(3) Finally, the numbering of several references in the text has shifted. For references numbered 12 or higher, incrementing the number in the text by 1 yields the correct reference in the majority of cases.